ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Drug Policy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/drugpo



Engaging with motherhood and parenthood: A commentary on the social science drugs literature

ARTICLEINFO

Keywords:
Motherhood
Parenthood
Family
Alcohol and other drugs
Qualitative research
Social and sociological theory

ABSTRACT

The majority of qualitative social scientific research on the topic of parenthood and substance use focuses on mothers who use illicit drugs and their experiences of social marginalization and stigmatization. This commentary argues that new and important insights might be gained about parenting in the context of substance use by engaging more closely with everyday experiences of mothering and with contemporary theorising around motherhood and parenthood. Drawing on recent sociological studies of family life influenced by late-modern individualism and by new expert attention on the quality of parent-child relationships, the commentary proposes directions for future social research on the identities and experiences of mothers and fathers who use alcohol and other drugs.

Introduction

Research on mothers and fathers who use alcohol and other drugs suggests that they often face unique dilemmas and challenges compared to their non-parent counterparts. For example, while care and concern for children can be a powerful motivation to engage with drug treatment professionals or to seek other sources of support (Copeland, 1998; Klee, 2002b; Lundgren, Schilling, Fitzgerald, Davis, & Amodeo, 2003; Mitchell, Severtson, & Latimer, 2008; Simpson & McNulty, 2008), fears of custody loss and other factors, like the incompatibility between the structure and organization of services and family life, are often significant barriers to care (Chandler et al., 2013; J. Fraser, 1997; Fraser & valentine, 2008; Harris & McElrath, 2012; Jessup, Humphreys, Brindis, & Lee, 2003; McMahon, Winkel, Suchman, & Luthar, 2002; Milligan et al., 2011; Stengel, 2014; Stewart, Gossop, & Trakada, 2007). Insofar as it examines how social contexts mediate parents' experiences of both substance use and access to services and supports, qualitative social scientific alcohol and other drugs research helps us better understand these realities for mothers and fathers who use alcohol and other drugs. The aim of this commentary is to offer a brief synthesis of this research and to propose some directions for future studies.

The vast majority of qualitative social scientific research on the topic of parenthood and substance use focuses on the experiences of mothers who use illicit drugs and who live in western societies. I demonstrate that these studies call attention to common experiences of stigmatization and social marginalization, and how both are shaped by normative expectations of women as mothers, but do not often look closely at everyday experiences of mothering or engage with contemporary theorising around motherhood and parenthood. I argue that doing both might help better inform explorations of parenting in the context of substance use. Drawing on recent sociological studies of contemporary family life, I propose that social scientists begin to ask different questions about the kinds of dilemmas and challenges that mothers and parents who use alcohol and other drugs face, suggesting that this might, in turn, yeild new insights about how services and

supports for them might be improved.

Combating stigma and social marginalization: Established approaches to motherhood in the social science drugs literature

Being a mother comes with normative expectations that are widely considered incompatible with illicit drug use— a fact, according to the social scientific literature, that has a powerful impact on the identities and experiences of mothers who use illicit drugs. A number of these studies highlight the moral condemnation directed at this group because they are perceived to transgress both gender norms-due to the link between femininity and motherhood— and a socially-sacralised relationship of trust and dependency with their children (Boyd, 1999; Campbell & Ettorre, 2011; Campbell, 1999; Ettorre, 1992; Murphy & Rosenbaum, 1999; Simmat-Durand, 2007; Young, 1994). Many also demonstrate that mothers who use illicit drugs share the same beliefs about motherhood as their non-drug-using counterparts, and value being mother as a means of fulfilling normative feminine virtues (Radcliffe, 2009; also see Kearney, Murphy, & Rosenbaum, 1994; King, Ross, Bruno, & Erickson, 2009). While this can be a powerful motivation to seek drug treatment and/or make positive lifestyle changes (Banwell, 2003; Brudenell, 1997; King et al., 2009; Martin, 2011; Silva. Pires, Guerreiro, & Cardoso, 2013; Taylor, 1993), it can also be a tremendous source of guilt and shame (Colten, 1982; Couvrette, Brochu, & Plourde, 2016; Holland, Forrester, Williams, & Copello, 2013; Klee. 2002a; Rhodes, Bernays, & Houmoller, 2010; Rosenbaum, 1981). The struggle to overcome stigma, to see oneself- and to be seen by othersas worthy of motherhood- is thus a common experience for mothers who use illicit drugs and a frequent theme in the social scientific literature (Murphy & Rosenbaum, 1999; Radeliffe, 2009, 2011).

Another experience shared by many mothers who use illicit drugs, according to the social science literature, is social marginalization. Numerous studies demonstrate that such women often live in difficult life circumstances that include poverty, homelessness, estrangement from family and/or lack of other social supports and that these forms of

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2018.12.011

relative deprivation make it difficult, if not impossible, to live up to dominant mothering standards and expectations (Baker & Carson, 1999; Banwell & Banmer, 2006; Bourgois & Schonberg, 2009; Maher, 2000; Murphy & Rosenbaum, 1999). Studies also demonstrate that very limited appropriate social supports are available to mothers who use illicit drugs (Kearney et al., 1994; Klee, 2002a; Mulia, 2002; Rosenbaum & Irwin, 2000) and that when they do engage with such supports, they are subject to the scrutiny of professionals who too-often assume they are unfit mothers, regardless of their actual mothering practices and regardless of the historical and/or structural impediments that they may face in caring for their children (Benoît et al., 2014; Campbell, 1999; Ettorre, 1992; Leppo & Perälä, 2009; Leppo, 2013; Olsen, 2015; Paltrow, 1998; Stokes & Schmidt, 2011; Toscano, 2005). That mothers who use illicit drugs face such hardship rarely lessens their "merciless judgement" by the press, the law and the public (Klee, 2002b, p. 5).

Less often explored in-depth in the social science literature, however, are mothers who use illicit drugs' experiences as mothers—that is, their everyday mothering practices, their relationships with their children, or the influence of both on their identities. Several studies examine the maternal identities of women who use illicit drugs (Baker & Carson, 1999; Banwell, 2003; Grundetjern, 2018; King et al., 2009; Martin, 2011; Radcliffe, 2009, 2011), but these studies often concentrate either on how women attempt to represent their mothering practices as consonant with the "good mother" ideal or on the influence of activities (e.g. engaging in drug treatment) and relationships (e.g. with health and welfare professionals), other than those directly related to childrearing. Conversely, studies that specifically examine the mothering practices of women who use illicit drugs (Baker & Carson, 1999; Carlson, Matto, Smith, & Eversman, 2006; Kearney et al., 1994; Klee, 1998; Richter & Bammer, 2000) tend to highlight the strategies that they use to protect their children from harm and fulfill their practical needs, or the struggles that they face in this regard. These studies demonstrate that women who use drugs can and often do effectively care for their children, but focus on specific kinds of (drug-related, harmreducing) mothering practices and generally do not engage with the question of identity.

Social scientists also do not often consider how the normative expectations that shape the identities and experiences of mothers who use illicit drugs might vary across different contexts or change over time. Variations of the following description of the "good mother" ideal are common in the social science drugs literature:

A good mother is thoughtful, altruistic, patient, devoted to her children, and fulfilled by her mothering role. She sets her own goals and interests aside, devotes herself entirely to her children, and does not make life choices that may impede her children's development. For a woman, raising children becomes a time-consuming, emotionally draining and labor-intensive practice (Couvrette et al., 2016, p. 292).

This passage is based on a collection of critical feminist writing about motherhood as a social construct published during the 1990s (e.g. Hays, 1998; Marshall, 1991; McMahon, 1995; Phoenix, Woollett, & Lloyd, 1991) that continues to be widely cited today. With a few exceptions (Banwell & Bammer, 2006; Grundetjern, 2018), studies of drug-using mothers have not engaged with more current writing on motherhood or parenthood, a tendency that contrasts markedly with related research on pregnancy and substance use (e.g. Bridges, 2012; Ettorre, 2007; Knight, 2015; Leppo, 2013; Salmon, 2011). Perhaps due to a commitment to advocating for better supports for mothers who use illicit drugs and countering their stigmatization, the emphasis is often instead on the circumstances that make it challenging for women who use illicit drugs to

live up dominant mothering standards and expectations, as the conclusion to Murphy and Rosenbaum's influential study (1999) illustrates:

Our interviewees' mothering standards and values resonated with those of most American mothers: mothers should protect their children from harm, keep them fed, warm, presentably dressed, and clean; and see that they are educated, prepared for the work world, and shown right from wrong. These goals are a tall order, however, under conditions of lifelong victimization: lack of skills and education; unplanned childbearing; single parenting; violent and unsafe housing; and scarcity of resources not only for children's play and learning but for basics, such as food, clothing and shelter (pp. 135–136).

In short, the social science literature to date offers many important insights about mothers who use illicit drugs' common experiences of stigmatization and social marginalization; less attention has been given to everyday mothering practices and how the mothering norms that shape these practices might have evolved in recent years. This may have paradoxically limited our appreciation of mothers who use substances' complex and varied life experiences. In particular, the existing literature may not have entirely done justice to what Wilson, Cunningham-Burley, Bancroft, & Backett-Milburn, 2012, p. 125) describe as "the haunting power of cultural ideals of family and the emotional significance [...] of the absence"— or presence— "of expected family practices" in drug-using mothers' lives, which can be inferred from studies demonstrating the devastating impact of custody loss on this group (Broadhurst & Mason, 2013; Nixon, Radtke, & Tutty, 2013). The same might be said of recent studies that include the perspectives of fathers who use illicit drugs and/or examine the use of other substances, like medically-prescribed opiate substitutes, in the context of family life (Chandler et al., 2013, 2014; Olsen, 2015; Rhodes et al., 2010; Wolf & Chávez. 2015). These studies also largely focus on the struggle to be seen and to see oneself as a "good parent," rather than on parents' feelings toward, or relationships with, their children per se.

For social scientists to offer additional insight into substance-using parents' experiences as parents is vitally important, given that other disciplines, like social work, which tend to adopt an individualizing problem-orientation, continue to dominate the literature on more "difficult" family experiences. It is also important given the additional meaning that family relationships may have for people, like illicit drug users, who experience social marginalization (Wilson et al., 2012, p. 111). One of the ways in which this effort could be enhanced is by engaging with more diverse and contemporary theoretical perspectives on contemporary motherhood and parenthood. As Barker and Hunt (2004) suggest with reference to the drugs and family literature, such an engagement has the potential not only to improve our understanding of substance-using parents' lives, but may also assist with the development of more innovative policy and social supports. Moreover, it is important for the social science drugs literature on parents to be theoretically innovative, like scholarship elsewhere in the field (e.g. Duff, 2008; Fraser, Moore, & Keane, 2014; Hughes, 2007; Vitellone, 2010; Weinberg, 2002). To assist with this task, and to help better capture current cultural ideals of family and the way they inform substanceusing parents' experiences, the following section highlights some recent sociological scholarship on the meaning and significance of having a child in contemporary western societies. It draws attention in particular to new expert knowledge about parent-child relationships and the anxieties generated by late-modern individualism.

The emotionally engaged, authentic parent: Sociological perspectives on contemporary family life

Contemporary sociological writing on the family suggests that childhood— and thus parenthood— has become "the most intensely governed sector of personal existence" in western societies and cultures

¹ These studies observe that "good motherhood" now also includes successfully balancing care for children with career achievements.

(Rose, 1999, as cited in Macvarish et al., 2014, p. 77). Ensuring the wellbeing of children has long justified expert and state attention and intervention into the realm of parenting, but, according to a number of sociologists, both have intensified in recent years (Chadwick & Foster, 2014; Daly, 2013b; Furedi, 2008; Gillies, 2008; Godderis, 2010; Kanieski, 2010; Lee, 2014; Lee, Macvarish, & Bristow, 2010; Scott, Jackson, & Backett-Milburn, 1998). Some suggest that two, key developments have brought this shift about: That more and more aspects of modern life are considered risky, particularly for children— evident in the extensive policy and expert attention now given to children's play and eating habits, for example (Fraser, Maher, & Wright, 2010; Frohlich, Alexander, & Fusco, 2012; Lee et al., 2010; Scott et al., 1998)— and neoliberal governments' abandonment of social policies and programs that attempt to address social problems as rooted in systematic, structurally engrained inequalities (Edwards, Gillies, & Horsley, 2015; Gillies, 2005; Lee, 2014). In this context,

[T]he minutiae of parent-child relations become a far greater preoccupation. Limiting risk becomes the dominant substitute for efforts to bring about purposeful change, and exerting control over an area of life where it seems most possible to do so arguably attains far greater attention than in the past (Lee, 2014, p. 72).

In other words, governments and experts are now more preoccupied with parenting than ever before because, lacking faith and investment in more "social solutions," they portray parenting (in policy, research and advice) to be the single most important cause of children's future life chances (Lee et al., 2010, p. 295).²

Sociologists also observe that the expanding field of "parenting expertise" and parent-focused government policies convey very specific ideas about how parents determine their children's success and wellbeing later in life (Broer & Pickersgill, 2015; Blum & Fenton, 2016; Faircloth, 2014; Kanieski, 2010; Lawler, 2000; Lee, 2014; Lowe, Lee, & Macvarish, 2015; Macvarish et al., 2014; Thornton, 2011; Wastell & White, 2012). These studies highlight the influence of scientific disciplines like neuroscience, neuropsychology and psychology, which hold that quality of parental nurturing, particularly in the first years of a child's life, is formative (Edwards et al., 2015; Kanieski, 2010; Lee, 2014). Specifically, some note that neuroscientific research on infant brain development has permeated the influential view, already established by psychology, that parental love and parent-child "attachments" are critical to children's emotional, cognitive and social development (Rose & Abi-Rached, 2013, as cited in Edwards et al., 2015; Thornton, 2011). As a result, "children and people generally are posed as comprised by their brains and parents as rearing the brains" (Edwards et al., 2015, p. 174) by forming close, emotional bonds with their children (Kanieski, 2010; Thornton, 2011). In other words, it is not parenting in general that is now believed to determine children's future life chances, but the strength and quality of parents' relationships with their children. Moreover, parents' ability to form such relationships is now thought to depend on adopting appropriate (i.e. expert-sanctioned) knowledge and skills, rather than being "natural" or "instinctive" (Lee, 2014, p. 74).

Several studies examine how the focus on parent-child relationships has shifted perceptions of motherhood in particular, given that women continue to do a disproportionate amount of both the emotional and practical work involved in caring for infants and young children and that "parenting" advice and expertise continues to predominantly address mothers (Blum, 2007; Daly, 2013a; Faircloth, 2011; Kanieski, 2010; Lawler, 2000; Thornton, 2011; Valencia, 2015; Wall, 2010). Lawler (2000) argues, for example, that contemporary mothering is increasingly conceptualized as the work of cultivating the unique

"selves" of children. She attributes this to the expansion of the "psy complex"— the disciplines of medicine, psychology, psychiatry, pedagogy and the helping professions— and the relentless scrutiny of the self that it incites. This has generated a general cultural preoccupation with self-help and personal growth, which, according to Lawler, dovetail perfectly with the central tenets of late-modern, "enterprise" capitalism: Active self-motivation, personal responsibility and the freedom to realise one's chosen objectives and aims (Keat 1991, as cited in Lawler, 2000, p. 72). In this context, Lawler argues, our parents, especially our mothers, have become the key identifying referents in the journey to discover our "true" selves. Correspondingly, the "good mother" is now she who fosters her child's ability to be him or herself—that is, an autonomous, self-actualizing individual.

Thornton (2011) makes similar observations in her analysis of what she calls "back-to-basics" mothering advice, which recommends emotional attachment and bonding with one's baby as a set of practices that will produce emotionally healthy children— defined primarily in terms of self-confidence and emotional adaptability (Thornton, 2011, p. 417). Like Lawler, Thornton points to the correspondence between these ideas and the "entrepreneurial models of self-conduct" promoted in neoliberal societies and cultures (Thornton, 2011, p. 401). She also considers this advice relative to previous models of mothering, namely the "socialization" model, which focused on guiding children into predetermined paths, teaching them to conform to social norms via practices like precise scheduling and strict discipline, and according to which "too much motherly love could have devastating effects" (Thornton, 2011, p. 406). In contrast, child development experts' current advice to mothers is now "emotion-centric"; it asserts that what children need is, "in fact, not regular scheduling or lessons in conformity, but an outpouring of motherly love and affection" (Thornton, 2011, p. 407). Influenced by both attachment theory and neuroscientific research on infant brain development, "back-to-basics" mothering advice stresses that love, care, and affection are critical for babies' and children's emotional— and hence total— development (Thornton, 2011, p. 410).

Scholars have drawn attention to the fact that this intensification of expectations of women as mothers— to ensure not only their children's health, safety and security, but also their neurological development and thus future social and emotional wellbeing- has occurred at the same time as the vast majority of women of childbearing age have joined the paid workforce (Bell, McNaughton, & Salmon, 2009; Blum & Fenton, 2016; Faircloth, 2011; Kanieski, 2010; Lupton, 2011), with some suggesting that attachment parenting discourse, for example, constitutes a backlash against the freedoms gained by the feminist movement (Badinter, 2012). Others, like Thornton, point out that contemporary mothering discourse is all the more salient and productive because it valourizes women's freedom; it encourages them to self-optimize through the work of mothering (Thornton, 2011, p. 405). She highlights the ways in which advice given to mothers does not impel women them to conform to a social role that demands self-sacrifice, but encourages them to act out of their own desires, to "maximize their own pleasures and satisfactions in their relations with their infants, through their mothering" (Thornton, 2011, p. 415).

A different body of sociological work similarly suggests that ways of conceptualizing and practicing parenthood and motherhood have undergone significant transformation in recent years, but attributes this to large-scale social and economic changes occurring across western countries— such as increases in the number of women working outside of the home and the emergence of increasingly competitive and mobile labour markets (e.g. Beck-Gernsheim, 1998; Chambers, 2012; Esping-Andersen, 2016; McCarthy, Edwards, & Gillies, 2017; Miller, 2005; Smart, 2007; Smyth, 2016; Widmer & Jallinoja, 2008). Much of this work also engages with Anthony Giddens' (1991) and Ulrich Beck's influential (1992) characterization of late-modern societies as having undergone related processes of "detraditionalization" and "individualization"— that is, the giving way of traditional social roles

² As a result, "what were once considered banal, relatively unimportant private routines of everyday life for families [...] have become the subject of intense debates about the effects of parental activities for the next generation of society as a whole" (Lee et al., p. 294).

pertaining to gender, family, and class identity and their associated codes of conduct to an "ethic of individual self-fulfillment and achievement" (Beck & Beck-Gernsheim, 1995, p. 165). According to some, these processes have brought about unprecedented changes to family life, particularly relationships between romantic partners, which are said to be more contingent now than ever before (Beck & Beck-Gernsheim, 1995; Beck-Gernsheim, 2002; Esping-Andersen, 2016; Giddens, 2013; Illouz, 2012; Roseneil & Budgeon, 2004). At the same time, people are said to rely more on their personal relationships to be a source of meaning and self-understanding, as result of their increasingly insecure attachments to other sources of personal identity, such as permanent, long-term employment (Beck & Beck-Gernsheim, 1995; Boltanski, 2013; Gabb, 2008; Miller, 2005; Villalobos, 2014, 2015).

One of the more compelling ideas to emerge from this literature is that having a child has assumed new symbolic significance for parents (Beck & Beck-Gernsheim, 1995; Gabb. 2008; Miller, 2005). As Beck and Beck-Gernsheim (1995) write: "The more other relationships become interchangeable and revocable, the more a child can become the focus of new hopes— it is the ultimate guarantee of permanence, providing an anchor for one's life" (Beck & Beck-Gernsheim, 1995, as cited in Gabb, 2008, p. 45). In other words, Beck and Beck-Gernsheim argue that the parent-child relationship is the only relationship that one cannot "choose" to discontinue; parents thus consider their child(ren) their only reliable source of love, emotional security and lasting attachment (Beck & Beck-Gernsheim, 1995, 34-37; also see Beck-Gernsheim, 2002).3 Others have similarly argued that contemporary parents' often intense emotional investments in their children— evident in descriptions of their feelings for their children as incomparable to those they have for anyone else, for example— is a means of situating the parent-child relationship outside of its wider social context, and thus claiming permanence for this relationship (Gabb, 2008, pp. 45-46). Some also suggest that seeking emotional intensity and meaning through their relationships with their children is a way in which some parents try to compensate for insecurity in the realms of both partnership and work (Bailey, 1999; Villalobos, 2014, 2015).

French sociologist, Luc Boltanski's (2013) recently translated sociological study of "engendering"— of "what happens when a woman finds herself pregnant" (2013, p. 7)— makes related claims about the significance of having a child in what he calls contemporary "connectionist" societies. Boltanski described "connectionist" societies as those in which individuals are primarily defined by the bonds that attach them to others and suggests that they produce two prevalent and contradictory anxieties: While we fear social exclusion more than anything else, we also worry that our connections to others will become too multiple and diffuse to be meaningful (p. 96-97). Becoming a parent, he argues, has become "a rampart against fragmentation, and constitutes one possible path in search of a more 'authentic' life" (p. 101). This is because we commonly perceive having a child as the creation of a bond that "lasts as long as lifetime;" according to Boltanski, it is precisely because it is difficult to disengage from this bond, as opposed to others, "that confers on it the property that is tacitly attributed to it of bringing forth something authentic" (p. 126). As he explains:

In engendering, each actor is expected to reveal his or her most 'profound' (and thus most 'authentic') aspects, because once formed the project of having a child imposes itself on the will those who have entered into its contract and acts as a test on which 'one cannot cheat.' (p. 126-7).

The work of thinkers like Boltanski and Beck and Beck-Gernsheim differs from and overlaps with that outlined previously in important ways. Both suggest that parenting/ mothering is increasingly conceptualized as a singularly important set of activities, the aim of which is to form a close, emotional bond with one's child. While the latter frames this as an effect of expert discourse, the former suggests it is a product of relatively rapid social and economic transformations that have rendered children parents' most reliable sources of emotional and ontological security. It is important to note that the relative fragility of other intimate relationships is the subject of much debate in sociology (Jamieson, 1999; Pahl & Spencer, 2004; Smart, 2007); few question, however, that the emphasis on the enactment of individuality in late modern societies generates distinct anxieties about how to create and sustain both a sense of self and connections to others (e.g. Adams, 2006; Beck & Beck-Gernsheim, 2009; Ehrenberg, 2008; Honneth, 2004; Howard, 2007; Illouz, 2008; Roseneil & Ketokívi, 2016). It may be that expert and government parenting discourse resonates the way that it does in contemporary culture because of these very anxieties. In other words, the growing social and cultural emphasis on the parent-child relationship— as an emotionally-intense bond of great consequence may not be entirely government- and expert-driven, but also fuelled by a more general preoccupation with, and insecurities about, our attachments to others and thus our biographical continuity.

The identities and experiences of parents who use alcohol and other drugs: Possible new avenues of inquiry

According to the studies outlined in the previous section, "psy" and neuroscientific discourse, and the uncertainties generated by latemodern, neo-liberal social conditions have changed the meaning and significance of motherhood and parenthood. These studies suggest that children's emotional and social development—their future ability to be "themselves" and thus able to adapt to the demands of life in competitive late-capitalist societies— is now believed to depend on the strength and quality of their emotional attachments with their parents. They also suggest that the parent-child relationship is increasingly valourized as a unique, lasting bond due to the relatively difficulty of sustaining other personal relationships and sources of personal identity, and that parents increasingly conceptualize having a child as a means of realizing their own "authentic" selves. Both developments have had a particular impact on women as mothers, because the social organization of parenting continues to position them as the primary caregivers of children.

These ideas raise some new and important questions for future social scientific research on substance-using mothers and fathers. First, and perhaps most importantly, it may be important to consider if and how drug treatment and health care professionals assess the quality of parent-child attachments in families affected by substance use. The studies outlined above suggest that child development experts now stress the importance of parents' forging close, emotional bonds with their children, on the basis that such attachments are essential to children's emotional, cognitive and social development. It seems that these ideas are having an impact on social policy in places like the United Kingdom, where there is a growing emphasis on "early intervention" (Edwards et al., 2015; Macvarish et al., 2014) that disproportionately targets and affects women in their role as mothers and those who are disadvantaged or the heads of "socially excluded" families (Daly, 2013a; Gillies, 2008). Some also suggest that policy responses to parents found to be using drugs have become more punitive (Olsen, 2015) and that health and welfare practitioners may be placing greater emphasis on the quality of mother-child relationships in their assessments of substance-using mothers' parenting capacities (Benoit et al., 2014). Future studies may wish to consider how or if ideas about the importance of parent-child or mother-child attachments inform current social and health service delivery for pregnant women, mothers and parents who use substances.

³ Importantly, Beck and Beck-Gernsheim suggest that the pressure to adapt to post-industrial labour markets has contributed to this transformation (1995, 78-79, 102-103). They argue that the more difficult it is to have and raise children, the more "special" the parent-child relationship has become and, paradoxically, the more time, energy and thought parents feel that they must invest in this relationship.

Another question raised is whether mothers and fathers who use illicit drugs are facing different dilemmas- and engaging in new practices to attempt to resolve these dilemmas— in light of new ways of thinking about parents' roles in the lives of their children. Previous studies demonstrate that mothers who use illicit drugs share the same beliefs about their children's needs as their non-drug-using counterparts (Colten, 1982; Murphy & Rosenbaum, 1999; Taylor, 1993). The description of these beliefs provided in studies like Murphy and Rosenbaum's (1999) corresponds closely with the socialisation model of motherhood— that is, protecting children from harm, keeping them fed, warm, and clean, and seeing that they are properly disciplined and educated— a model which Thornton (2011) suggests has been replaced by the emotionally-engaged mother. While studies suggest that such models are always more closely aligned with middle- and upper-class women's mothering practices (Gillies, 2005; Lawler, 2000), it remains important to consider whether or how parents who use substanceswho are often also socially marginalized— perceive themselves as meeting the emotional needs of their children, and how they negotiate and manage these self-expectations. Some recent studies have noted that the inability to "be there" for one's children as a central concern for many substance-using parents (Couvrette et al., 2016; Martin, 2011).

This relates to another possible new area of inquiry, which is how parents who use substances interpret engaging in drug treatment services and/or the attempt to disengage from substance use. Addiction is commonly perceived to be a condition in which one's self is lost, or rather, "hijacked" by the presumably overwhelming power of psychoactive substances (Carr. 2011; S. Fraser et al., 2014; Keane, 2002). Correspondingly, a particular idea often reinforced in drug treatment settings is that successful recovery depends on coming to terms with the "truth" about one's self (Carr. 2006, p. 636). It might be productive to consider how this idea dovetails with the view that having a child, and caring for that child, is a means of realizing one's "true" self, which scholars like Boltanski propose. Whether a dichotomy, between the "false" addict self and the "real" abstinent self-as-parent influences the self-perceptions of parents' attempting to disengage from substance use may also be worthy of exploration.

Finally, the existing literature suggests that substance-using women value being a mother because it presents them with an opportunity to re-align themselves with normative feminine virtues (Radcliffe, 2009; also see Kearney et al., 1994; King et al., 2009). While this is a compelling claim, the parent-child/ mother-child relationship is likely also personally meaningful in other important and socially-determined ways. According to several scholars, parents living in late-modern societies increasingly view this relationship as uniquely important because it is relatively permanent and stable; it is a bond that "lasts as long as lifetime" (Beck & Beck-Gernsheim, 1995; Boltanski, 2013; Gabb, 2008; Villalobos. 2014, 2015). Forming such a bond may be all the more significant for people who use substances, given the common finding that they often experience social isolation and estrangement from family and other supports (Bourgois & Schonberg, 2009). Scholars may wish to ask whether, in addition to being an opportunity to adopt a normative social identity, having a child might also be interpreted and experienced as a means of generating a lasting attachment to another, the lack of which, some suggest, is a source of particularly accute social suffering in "connectionist" societies (Boltanski, 2013; Roseneil & Ketokivi, 2016).

Conclusion

The existing social science literature offers a number of important insights about substance using mothers and fathers, namely that they share the same expectations of themselves as parents as their non-drugusing counterparts, but often struggle to live up to these expectations due to their relative social and economic deprivation, inadequate drug treatment services, and the coercive practices and judgemental attitudes of health and welfare professionals. The aim of this commentary

has been to encourage social scientists working in the drug and alcohol field to engage more closely with substance-users' experiences of motherhood and/or parenthood and with more recent sociological scholarship on contemporary parenting ideals and expectations. In this discussion. I have focused on the ideal and practice of "authentic." emotionally-engaged mothering/parenting; new insights might also be gained from other scholarly disciplines or from other sociological studies- for example, those that explore how women reconcile their feminist values and/or desires for autonomy with contemporary mothering ideals and expectations (e.g. Glass, Hamilton, & Tebeck, 2014; Lupton & Schmied, 2002; Miller, 2005; Wall, 2010) and those that propose new theoretical approaches to motherhood and family life (e.g. Boyer & Spinney, 2016; Hird, 2007; Price-Robertson & Duff, 2018). Regardless, engaging more closely with substance-using parents' experiences as parents and giving due consideration to whether and how mothering and parenting norms might be changing, and thus reshaping these experiences, is a worthwhile endeavour. Doing so may not only strengthen our understanding of parenting in the context of drug and alcohol use, but may also offer new insights into the meaning, significance, and experience of mothering and parenting in contemporary western societies more generally.

References

- Adams, M. (2006). Hybridizing habitus and reflexivity: Towards an understanding of contemporary identity? Sociology, 40(3), 511–528.
- Badinter, E. (2012). The conflict: How modern motherhood undermines the status of women. Metropolitan Books.
- Bailey, L. (1999). Refracted selves? A study of changes in self-identity in the transition to motherhood. Sociology, 33(2), 335–352.
- Baker, P., & Carson, A. (1999). I take care of my kids': Mothering practices of substance abusing women. Gender & Society, 13(3), 347–363.
- Banwell, C. (2003). Methadone mothers: Converging drug and mothering discourses and identities. Sites A Journal of Social Anthropology and Cultural Studies. 1(1), 133–160.
- Banwell, C., & Banimer, G. (2006). Maternal habits: Narratives of mothering, social position and drug use. The International Journal of Drug Policy. 17(6), 504–513.
- Barker, J. C., & Hunt, G. (2004). Representations of family: A review of the alcohol and drug literature. The International Journal of Drug Policy. 15(5-6), 347–356.
- Beck, U. (1992). Risk society: Towards a new modernity. Vol. 17. London. Sage,
- Beck, U., & Beck-Gernsheim, E. (1995). In M. Ritter, & J. Wiebel (Eds.). The normal chaos of love, trans. Cambridge: Polity.
- Beck, U., & Beck-Gernsheim, F. (2009). Losing the traditional: Individualization and 'precarious freedoms'. In A. Elliot, & P. Du Gay (Eds.). *Identity in question* (pp. 13–36). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Beck-Gernsheim, E. (1998). On the way to a post familial family: From a community of need to elective affinities. Theory, Culture & Society, 15(3-4), 53-70.
- Beck Gernsheim, E. (2002). Reinventing the family: In search of new lifestyles. London: Polity.
- Bell, K., McNaughton, D., & Salmon, A. (2009). Medicine, morality and mothering: Public health discourses on foetal alcohol exposure, smoking around children and childhood overnutrition. Critical Public Health. 19(2), 155-170.
- Benoit, C., Stengel, C., Marcellus, L., Hallgrimsdottin, H., Anderson, J., Mackinnon, K., Charbonneau, S. (2014). Providers' constructions of pregnant and early parenting women who use substances. Sociology of Health & Illness, 36(2), 252–363.
- Blum, L. M. (2007). Mother-blame in the prozac nation. Raising kids with invisible disabilities. Gender & Society, 21(2), 202-226.
- Blum, L. M., & Fenton, E. R. (2016). Mothering with neuroscience in a neoliberal age: Child disorders and embodied brains. In L. F. Käll (Ed.). Bodies, boundaries and valnerabilities: Interrogating social, cultural and political aspects of embodiment (crossroads of knowledge) (pp. 99–118). Cham: Springer.
- Boltanski, L. (2013). The focial condition: A sociology of engendering and abornon, trans. Catherine Porter. Cambridge: Polity.
- Bourgois, P. L., & Schonberg, J. (2009). Righteous dopefiend. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Boyd, S. C. (1999). Mothers and illien drugs: Transcending the myths. Toronto-University of Toronto Press.
- Boyer, K., & Spinney, J. (2016). Motherhood, mobility and materiality. Material on tanglements, journey-making and the process of 'becoming mother'. Environment and Planning D. Society & Space, 34, 1113–1131.
- Bridges, K. M. (2012). Pregnancy, medicaid, state regulation, and legal subjection. *Journal of Powerty*, 16(3), 323–352.
- Broadhurst, K., & Mason, C. (2013). Maternal outcasts: Raising the profile of women whe are vulnerable to successive, compulsory removals of their children—A plea for preventative action. The Journal of Social Welfare & Family Law, 35(3), 201-304.
- Broer, T., & Pickersgill, M. (2015). Targeting brains, producing responsibilities. The use of neuroscience within British social policy. Social Science & Medicine. 132, 54–61.
- Brudenell, I. (1997). A grounded theory of protecting recovery during transition to me therhood. The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse, 23(3), 453–466.

- Campbell, N. D. (1999). Regulating "maternal instinct": Governing mentalities of late twentieth-century US illicit drug policy. Signs, 895–923.
- Campbell, N. D., & Ettorre, E. (2011). Gendering addiction: The politics of drug treatment in a neurochemical world. New York: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Carlson, B. E., Matto, H., Smith, C. A., & Eversman, M. (2006). A pilot study of reunification following drug abuse treatment: Recovering the mother role. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 36(4), 877–902.
- Carr, E. S. (2006). "Secrets keep you sick": Metalinguistic labor in a drug treatment program for homeless women. *Language in Society*, 35(05), 631–653.
- Carr, E. S. (2011). Scripting addiction: The politics of therapeutic talk and American sobriety. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Chadwick, R. J., & Foster, D. (2014). Negotiating risky bodies: Childbirth and constructions of risk. Health. Risk & Society, 16(1), 68–83.
- Chambers, D. (2012). A sociology of family life: Change and diversity in intimare relations. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Chandler, A., Whittaker, A., Cunningham-Burley, S., Williams, N., McGorm, K., & Mathews, G. (2013). Substance, structure and stigma: Parents in the UK accounting for opioid substitution therapy during the antenatal and postnatal periods. *The International Journal of Drug Policy*, 24(6), e35–e42.
- Chandler, A., Whittaker, A., Williams, N., McGorm, K., Cunningham-Burley, S., & Mathews, G. (2014). Mother's little helper? Contrasting accounts of benzodiazepine and methadone use among drug-dependent parents in the UK. Drugs Education Prevention & Policy, 21(6), 470–475.
- Colten, M. E. (1982). Attitudes, experiences, and self-perceptions of heroin addicted mothers. The Journal of Social Issues, 38(2), 77–92.
- Copeland, J. (1998). A qualitative study of self-managed change in substance dependence among women. Contemporary Drug Problems, 25(2), 321–345.
- Couvrette, A., Brochu, S., & Plourde, C. (2016). The "deviant good mother" motherhood experiences of substance-using and lawbreaking women. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 46(4), 292–307.
- Daly, M. (2013a). Parenting support: Another gender-related policy illusion in Europe? Women's Studies International Forum, 41, 223–230.
- Daly, M. (2013b). Parenting support policies in Europe. Families Relationships and Societies, 2(2), 159–174.
- Duff, C. (2008). The pleasure in context. The International Journal of Drug Policy, 19(5), 384–392.
- Edwards, R., Gillies, V., & Horsley, N. (2015). Brain science and early years policy: Hopeful ethos or 'cruel optimism'? Critical Social Policy, 35(2), 167–187.
- Ehrenberg, A. (2008). La fatigue d'être soi: Dépression et société. Odile Jacob.
- Esping-Andersen, G. (2016). Families in the 21st century. Stockholm: SNS Förlag. .
- Ettorre, E. (1992). Women and substance use. London: MacMillan.
- Ettorre, E. (2007). Revisioning women and drug use: Gender, power and the body. Houndsmills, Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Faircloth, C. (2011). It feels right in my heart: Affective accountability in narratives of attachment. The Sociological Review, 59(2), 283–302.
- Faircloth, C. (2014). The problem of 'attachment': The 'detached' parent. In E. Lee, J. Bristow, C. Faircloth, & J. Macvarish (Eds.). Parenting culture studies (pp. 147–164). London: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Fraser, J. (1997). Methadone clinic culture: The everyday realities of female methadone clients. Qualitative Health Research, 7(1), 121–139.
- Fraser, S., & valentine, K. (2008). Substance and substitution: Methadone subjects in liberal societies. Houndsmills, Basingstoke: Palgrave.
- Fraser, S., Maher, J., & Wright, J. (2010). Between bodies and collectivities: Articulating the action of emotion in obesity epidemic discourse. Social Theory & Health, 8(2), 192–209.
- Fraser, S., Moore, D., & Keane, H. (2014). Habits: Remaking addiction. Palgrave Macmillan. Froblich, K. L., Alexander, S. A., & Fusco, C. (2012). All work and no play? The nascent discourse on play in health prepared. Social Theory & Marks, 1973.
- discourse on play in health research. Social Theory & Health, 11(1), 1–18.

 Furedi, F. (2008). Paranoid parenting: Why ignoring the experts may be best for your child.

 London: Continuum.
- Gabb, J. (2008). Affective attachment in families. In P. Redman (Ed.). Attachment: Sociology and social worlds (pp. 19–50). Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Giddens, A. (1991). Modernity and self-identity: Self and society in the late modern age. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Giddens, A. (2013). The transformation of intimacy: Sexuality, love and eroticism in modern societies. Cambridge: Polity.
- societies. Cambridge: Polity, Gillies, V. (2005). Raising the 'merifocracy': Parenting and the individualization of social
- class. Sociology, 39(5), 835–853.
 Gillies, V. (2008). Perspectives on parenting responsibility: Contextualizing values and practices. Journal of Law and Society, 35(1), 95–112.
- Glass, L., Hamilton, K., & Tebeck, K. (2014). Mothering, poverty and consumption. In S. O'Donohoe, M. Hogg, P. Maclaran, L. Martens, & L. Stevens (Eds.). Motherhoods, markets and consumption: The making of mothers in contemporary western cultures. Abington, Oxon: Routledge.
- Godderis, R. (2010). Precarious beginnings: Gendered risk discourses in psychiatric research literature about postpartum depression. Health, 14(5), 451–466.
- Grundetjern, H. (2018). Negotiating motherhood: Variations of maternal identities among women in the illegal drug economy. Gender & Society. 3, 395–416.
- Harris, J., & McElrath, K. (2012). Methadone as social control: Institutionalized stigma and the prospect of recovery. *Qualitative Health Research*, 22(6), 810–824.
- Hays, S. (1998). The cultural contradictions of motherhood. Princeton: Yale University Press. Hird, M. J. (2007). The corporeal generosity of maternity. Body & Society, 13(1), 1–20.
- Holland, S., Forrester, D., Williams, A., & Copello, A. (2013). Parenting and substance misuse: Understanding accounts and realities in child Protection contexts. *British Journal of Social Work*, 44(6), 1491–1507.
- Honneth, A. (2004). Organized self-realization: Some paradoxes of individualization.

- European Journal of Social Theory, 7(4), 463-478.
- Howard, C. (2007). Contested individualization: Debates about contemporary personboosl New York: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Hughes, K. (2007). Migrating identities: The relational constitution of drug use and ad diction. Sociology of Health & Illness, 29(5), 673–691.
- Illouz, E. (2008). Saving the modern soul: Therapy, emotions and the culture of self-help. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Illouz, E. (2012). Why love hurts: A sociological explanation. Cambridge: Polity Press. Jamieson, L. (1999). Intimacy transformed? A critical look at the 'Pure relationship Sociology, 33(3), 477-494.
- Jessup, M., Humphreys, J., Brindis, C., & Lee, K. (2003). Extrinsic barriers to substance abuse treatment among pregnant drug dependent women. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 33(2), 285–304.
- Kanieski, M. A. (2010). Securing attachment: The shifting medicalisation of attachment and attachment disorders. Health, Risk & Society, 12(4), 335–344.
- Keane, H. (2002). What's wrong with addiction? Carlton South, Vic. Melbourne: University Press.
- Kearney, M. H., Murphy, S., & Rosenbaum, M. (1994). Mothering on crack cocaine: A grounded theory analysis. Social Science & Medicine, 38(2), 351–361.
- King, K. E., Ross, L. E., Bruno, T. L., & Erickson, P. G. (2009). Identity work among street involved young mothers. *Journal of Yorth Studies*, 19(2), 120–140.
- Klee, H. (1998). Drug-using parents: Analysing the stereotypes. International Journal of Drug Policy, 9(6), 437–448.
- Klee, H. (2002a). Relapse: Missing the window of opportunity. In Fl. Klee, M. Jackson, & S. Lewis (Eds.). Drug misuse and matherhood (pp. 165–176). London: Routledge.
- Klee, H. (2002b). Women, family and drugs. In H. Klee, M. Jackson, & S. Lewis (Eds.). Drug misuse and motherhood (pp. 3–14). London: Routledge.
- Knight, K. R. (2015). Addicted.pregnant.poor. Retrieved fromNorth Carolina: Duke University Press. http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/dal/detail.action?dociD 4412769.
- Lawler, S. (2000). Mothering the self: Mothers, daughters, subjects, Leadon, Ronfledge, Lee, E. (2014). Experts and parenting culture. In E. Lee, J. Bristow, C. Faircloth, & J.
- Macvarish (Eds.), Parenting culture studies (pp. 51–75). London, Palgrave MacMillan, Lee, E., Macvarish, J., & Bristow, J. (2010). Risk, health and parenting culture. Health, Risk & Society, 12(4), 293–300.
- Leppo, A. (2013). Governing risks through freedom and force: Professional interventions in drug use during pregnancy. Presented at the Complexity: Researching alcohol and other drugs in a multiple world. Denmark: Aarhus University.
- Leppo, A., & Perälä, R. (2009). User involvement in Finland: The hybrid of control and emancipation. *Journal of Health Organization and Managemen*, 23(3), 359–371.
- Lowe, P., Lee, E., & Macvarish, J. (2015). Biologising parenting: Neuroscience discourse. English social and public health policy and understandings of the child. Sociology of Health & Illness, 37(2), 198–211.
- Lundgren, L., Schilling, R., Fitzgerald, T., Davis, K., & Amodeo, M. (2003). Parental status of women injection drug users and entry to methadone maintenance. Substance Use & Misusc, 38(8), 1109–1131.
- Lupton, D. (2011). 'The best thing for the baby'. Mothers' concepts and experiences related to promoting their infants' health and development. Health, Risk & Society, 13(7/8), 637-651.
- Lupton, D., & Schmied, V. (2002). "The right way of doing it all": First-time Australian mothers' decisions about paid employment. Women's studies International Forum, Vol. 25, 97–107.
- Macvarish, J. (2014). The politics of parenting. In E. Lee, C. Faireloth, & J. Macvarish (Eds.). Parenting culture studies (pp. 76–101). London: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Maher, L. (2000). Sexed work: Gender, race and resistance in a Brooklyn drug market. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Marshall, H. (1991). The social construction of motherhood: An analysis of childcure and parenting manuals. In A. Phoenix, A. Woollett, & E. Lloyd (Eds.). Matherhood: Meanings, practices and ideologies (pp. 66–85). London: Sage.
- Martin, F. (2011). Deep entanglements: Identity, embediment and the complexities of disengaging from injecting drug use in the lives of young mothers. Comemparary Drug Problems, 38(3), 335–366.
- McCarthy, J. R., Edwards, R., & Gillies, V. (2017). Making families: Moral tales of parening and step parenting. Abington, Oxon: Routledge.
- McMahon, M. (1995). Engendering motherhood: Identity and self-transformation in women's lives. New York: Guilford Press.
- McMahon, T. J., Winkel, J. D., Suchman, N. E., & Luthar, S. S. (2002). Drug dependence, parenting responsibilities, and treatment history: why doesn't mom go for help? *Drug* and Alcohol Dependence, 65(2), 105–114.
- Miller, T. (2005). Making sense of motherhood. A norraive approach. Cambridge Cambridge University Press.
- Milligan, K., Niccols, A., Sword, W., Thabane, L., Henderson, L., & Smith, A. (2011). Length of stay and treatment completion for mothers with substance abuse issues in integrated treatment programmes. *Drugs-Education Prevention and Policy*, 18(3), 219–227.
- Mitchell, M. M., Severison, S. G., & Latimer, W. W. (2008). Pregnancy and race/ethnicity as predictors of motivation for drug treatment. The American journal of drug and al cohol abuse, 34(4), 397–404.
- Mulia, N. (2002). Ironics in the pursuit of well-being: The perspectives of low income, substance-using women on service institutions. Contemporary Drug Problems, 29(4), 711–748.
- Miuphy, S., & Rosenbaum, M. (1999). Pregnant women on drugs: Combuting sigms, and stereotypes. New Brunswick, N.J. Rutvers University Press.
- Nixon, K. L., Radtke, H. L., & Tutty, L. M. (2013). "Every day it takes a piece of you away." Experiences of grief and loss among abused mothers involved with child protective services. *Journal of Public Child Welfare*, 7(2), 172–193.

- Olsen, A. (2015). Punishing parents: Child removal in the context of drug use. Drug and Alcohol Review, 34(1), 27–30.
- Pahl, R., & Spencer, L. (2004). Personal communities: Not simply families of 'fate'or 'choice'. Current Sociology. 52(2), 199-221.
- Paltrow, L. M. (1998). Punishing women for their behavior during pregnancy: An approach that undermines the health of women and children. In C. L. Wetherington, & A. B. Roman (Eds.). Drug addiction research and the health of women (pp. 467–502). Rockville, MD: National Institute on Drug Abuse.
- Phoenix, A., Woollett, A., & Lloyd, E. (1991). Motherhood: Meaning, practices and ideologies. London: Sage.
- Price-Robertson, R., & Duff, C. (2018). Family assemblages. Social & Cultural Geography. 1–19.
- Radcliffe, P. (2009). Drug use and motherhood: Strategies for managing identity. Drug & Alcohol Today, 9(3), 17–21.
- Radcliffe, P. (2011). Motherhood, pregnancy, and the negotiation of identity: The moral career of drug treatment. Social Science & Medicine. 72(6), 984–991.
- Rhodes, T., Bernays, S., & Houmoller, K. (2010). Parents who use drugs: Accounting for damage and its limitation. Social Science & Medicine, 71(8), 1489-1497.
- Richter, K., & Bammer, G. (2000). A hierarchy of strategies heroin-using mothers employ to reduce harm to their children. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 19(4), 403–413.
- Rose, Nikolas, & Abi-Rached, Joelle M. (2013). Neuro: The new brain sciences and the management of the mind. Princeton University Press.
- Rosenbaum, M. (1981). Women on heroin. Rutgers, N.J.: Rutgers University Press.
- Rosenbaum, M., & Irwin, K. (2000). Pregnancy, drugs, and harm reduction. Harm reduction: National and international perspectives. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage89–110.
- Roseneil, S., & Budgeon, S. (2004). Cultures of intimacy and care beyond 'the family': Personal life and social change in the early 21st century. Current Sociology, 52(2), 135–159.
- Roseneil, S., & Ketokivi, K. (2016). Relational persons and relational processes: Developing the notion of relationality for the sociology of personal life. Sociology, 50(1), 143–159.
- Salmon, A. (2011). Aboriginal mothering, FASD prevention and the contestations of neoliberal citizenship. Critical Public Health, 21(2), 165–178.
- Scott, S., Jackson, S., & Backett-Milburn, K. (1998). Swings and roundabouts: Risk anxiety and the everyday worlds of children. Sociology, 32(4), 689–705.
- Silva, S. A., Pires, A. P., Guerreiro, C., & Cardoso, A. (2013). Balancing motherhood and drug addiction: The transition to parenthood of addicted mothers. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 18(3), 359–367.
- Simmat-Durand, L. (2007). Mothers addicted to drugs: Retween norms and penalties. Deviance et Societé, 31(3), 305–330.
- Simpson, M., & McNulty, J. (2008). Different needs: Women's drug use and treatment in the UK. International Journal of Drug Policy, 19(2), 169–175.
- Smart, C. (2007). Personal life. Cambridge. Polity Press.
- Smyth, L. (2016). The disorganized family: Institutions, practices and normativity. The

- British Journal of Sociology, 67(4), 678-696.
- Stengel, C. (2014). The risk of being 'too honest': Drug use, stigma and pregnancy. Health Risk & Society, 16(1), 36–50.
- Stewart, D., Gossop, M., & Trakada, K. (2007). Drug dependent parents: Childrane is sponsibilities, involvement with treatment services, and treatment outcomes. Addictive Behaviors, 32(8), 1657–1668.
- Stokes, J., & Schmidt, G. (2011). Race, poverty and child protection decision making. British Journal of Social Work, 41(6), 1105–1121.
- Taylor, A. (1993). Women drug users: An ethnography of a female injecting community. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Thornton, D. J. (2011). Neuroscience, affect, and the entrepreneurialization of mother hood. Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies, 8(4), 399–424.
- Toscano, V. (2005). Misguided retribution: Criminalization of pregnant women who take drugs. Social & Legal Studies, 14(3), 359–386.
- Valencia, L. (2015). Being a mother, practicing motherhood, mothering someone: The impact of psy knowledge and processes of subjectification. *Journal of Family Issues*, 36(9), 1233–1252.
- Villalobos, A. (2014). Motherload: Making it all better in insecure times. Oakland, CA. University of California Press.
- Villalobos, A. (2015). Compensatory connection: Mothers' own stakes in an intensive mother-child relationship. *Journal of Family Issues*, 36(14), 1928–1956.
- Vitellone, N. (2010). Just another night in the shooting gallery?: The syringe, space, and affect. Environment and Planning. D. Society and Space, 28(5), 867.
- Wall, G. (2010). Mothers' experiences with intensive parenting and brain development discourse. Women's Studies International Forum, 33, 253–263.
- Wastell, D., & White, S. (2012). Blinded by neuroscience: Social policy, the family and the infant brain. Families, Relationships and Societies, 1(3), 397–414.
- Weinberg, D. (2002). On the embodiment of addiction. Body & Society, 8(4), 1–19.
 Widmer F. & Jallingia R. (2008). Boyand the midler could be Employed.
- Widmer, E., & Jallinoja, R. (2008) Beyond the nuclear family: Families in a configurational perspective. Bern: Peter Lang.
 Wilson, S., Cunningham Burley, S., Bancroft, A., & Backett Milburn, K. (2012). The
- Wilson, S., Cunningnam-Buriey, S., Bancrott, A., & Backert Milburn, K. (2012). The consequences of love: Young people and family practices in difficult circumstances. *The Sociological Review*, 60(1), 110–128.
- Wolf, J. P., & Chavez, R. (2015). "Just make sure you can get up and parent the next day Understanding the contexts, risks, and rewards of alcohol consumption for parents. Families in Society: The Journal of Comemporary Social Services, 96(3), 219–228.
- Young, I. M. (1994). Punishment, treatment, empowerment: Three approaches to policy for pregnant addicts. *Feminist Studies*, 20(1), 33.

Fiona S. Martin
Department of Sociology and Social Anthropology, Dalhousie University,
Canada

E-mail address: f.martin@dal.ca.