

Proposed MAAP-AC Key Stakeholder Provider Panel Events (x4)

PLAN											
ATTENDEES	Community Based Primary Health Care Providers (8-12 participants per focus group), PI Dr. Marshall, MAAP co-investigators, research assistants.										
DATE	TBD										
LOCATION	Truro, NS; Charlottetown, PE; St. John’s NL; Moncton NB										
PROVISIONS	Handouts, consent forms, food and parking.										
EVENT PLAN	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Agenda Items</th> <th>Breakdown</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1. Overview of event</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Ground rules and consent. b. Digging deeper into the provider data- Do our findings resonate with your practice? </td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Brief introduction</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. MAAP-AC study b. Investigators </td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Brief presentation of findings related to focus group topics.</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Power point slides b. Handouts </td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. Focus group topics for discussion</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Discussion regarding general access and availability (e.g. acceptance of new patients, evening and weekend availability). b. Discussion regarding access to care for patients who require opioids. c. Reasons behind meet and greet and one issue per visit policies. d. Elicit recommendations for improved patient access and provider availability. What would work to improve accessibility for all patients needing a provider? Specific incentives? More group practices? Supports for providers for challenging patients (i.e., patients with pain/wanting narcotics, mental health issues, high co-morbidity)? Changes in policies currently deemed detrimental by providers? </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Agenda Items	Breakdown	1. Overview of event	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Ground rules and consent. b. Digging deeper into the provider data- Do our findings resonate with your practice? 	2. Brief introduction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. MAAP-AC study b. Investigators 	3. Brief presentation of findings related to focus group topics.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Power point slides b. Handouts 	4. Focus group topics for discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Discussion regarding general access and availability (e.g. acceptance of new patients, evening and weekend availability). b. Discussion regarding access to care for patients who require opioids. c. Reasons behind meet and greet and one issue per visit policies. d. Elicit recommendations for improved patient access and provider availability. What would work to improve accessibility for all patients needing a provider? Specific incentives? More group practices? Supports for providers for challenging patients (i.e., patients with pain/wanting narcotics, mental health issues, high co-morbidity)? Changes in policies currently deemed detrimental by providers?
	Agenda Items	Breakdown									
	1. Overview of event	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Ground rules and consent. b. Digging deeper into the provider data- Do our findings resonate with your practice? 									
	2. Brief introduction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. MAAP-AC study b. Investigators 									
	3. Brief presentation of findings related to focus group topics.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Power point slides b. Handouts 									
4. Focus group topics for discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Discussion regarding general access and availability (e.g. acceptance of new patients, evening and weekend availability). b. Discussion regarding access to care for patients who require opioids. c. Reasons behind meet and greet and one issue per visit policies. d. Elicit recommendations for improved patient access and provider availability. What would work to improve accessibility for all patients needing a provider? Specific incentives? More group practices? Supports for providers for challenging patients (i.e., patients with pain/wanting narcotics, mental health issues, high co-morbidity)? Changes in policies currently deemed detrimental by providers? 										
FOLLOW-UP	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>Feedback for participants</td> <td>Participants will sent a thank you card, and a brief report on findings from the day</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Feedback for study</td> <td>An executive summary and full report will be written and collated with each province and distributed to the MAAP team of researchers and knowledge users.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Feedback for participants	Participants will sent a thank you card, and a brief report on findings from the day	Feedback for study	An executive summary and full report will be written and collated with each province and distributed to the MAAP team of researchers and knowledge users.						
Feedback for participants	Participants will sent a thank you card, and a brief report on findings from the day										
Feedback for study	An executive summary and full report will be written and collated with each province and distributed to the MAAP team of researchers and knowledge users.										