



**DALHOUSIE  
UNIVERSITY**  
*Inspiring Minds*

**INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS THEORY**  
**Political Science 5523 – 2014**

Professor: Frank P. Harvey (frank.harvey@dal.ca)  
Telephone: 494-6605  
Office: 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Henry Hicks Building (main Political Science office)  
Office Hours: Wednesdays 11:30am - 1:00pm (or by appointment)

**PLEASE NOTE:** The 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the course will be taught by Professor Brian Bow - separate syllabus will be distributed in January.

**Course Description**

This course is designed to acquaint students with the prominent theoretical frameworks, perspectives and methodologies in the subfield of international relations. It will quickly be obvious that there is no one theory or approach - the field encompasses a series of contending explanations of dominant trends and patterns in nation state behaviour. Students are expected to understand, analyze and critique these arguments by exploring their logical, theoretical and empirical strengths and weaknesses.

The reading list represents both traditional and modern writings in international relations, comparative foreign policy and US foreign policy analysis. The course approaches these subjects from different perspectives and features several seminal works and literature reviews. Students should be familiar with the most enduring literature of a discipline, and should try to answer for themselves why those works have endured. The theory of Realism, for example, has been a longstanding preoccupation of IR scholarship, and it has been said that much of IR theory has been a dialogue between proponents of Realism and their critics and supporters.

The bibliography in international relations is immense, and no attempt will be made here to list bibliographic references beyond those brief citations provided in the course outline. Students should become aware of the periodical literature in the field and should be familiar with the following journals -- *World Politics*, *International Organization*, *International Studies Quarterly*, *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, *Security Studies*, *International Security*, *International Journal*, *Foreign Policy*, *Foreign Affairs* and *Review of International Studies*. Other highly subscribed general-interest journals in political science will occasionally have excellent articles on IR theory, including the *American Political Science Review*, *Canadian Journal of Political Science* and *Millennium*.

The course will be run as a seminar with regularly assigned readings and discussion. Students will be expected to present summaries of assigned readings (the number depends on class size), and there will be approximately four to five reports per class. Students are required to complete two papers. The final grade for the course will be compiled as follows:

Two Essays	70%
Class Participation (including oral/written reports)	30%

**Class attendance and participation are COMPULSORY.**

Students are expected to complete **all** assigned readings prior to each class. Everyone should come prepared to evaluate the central contributions of these readings through consistent and active participation in class discussions. Each student will be required to deliver at least four oral presentations of selected readings during the semester (approximately 8-10 minutes each) and co-lead discussion during these classes. Specific guidelines for these presentations will be reviewed during the first class. Students who miss more than **two** classes will not receive marks for class participation.

**General policies concerning assignments, deadlines, and grades**

The University Calendar makes plain that “[s]tudents are expected to complete class work by the prescribed deadlines. Only in special circumstances (e.g. the death of a close relative) may an instructor extend such deadlines.” Late essays will be assessed a penalty at the instructor’s discretion. Students who miss the deadline for a discussion paper or major paper on account of illness are expected to hand the assignment in within one week of their return to class, with a medical certificate in hand, per academic regulations in the Dalhousie Calendar.

Plagiarism (intentionally or unintentionally representing other people’s ideas as your own) is a serious violation of academic ethics, and will be taken seriously in this class. For info on what plagiarism is, how to avoid it, and the penalties for not doing so, check out: <http://academicintegrity.dal.ca/index.php>

**Course Outline and Required Readings**

**PLEASE NOTE -- in order to eliminate the high cost of course material, the 2014 International Relations Theory syllabus has been revised to include only material that is accessible online. All readings and journal articles can be found using the links listed in the syllabus or by accessing the relevant *Journal* through Dalhousie's Electronic Journals web page. If there is no direct link provided in the syllabus (or if the link leads to a subscription page or pay wall), simply track the journal title, edition/volume, author, article title and page numbers here:**

<http://www.library.dal.ca/Find/?find=journals>

**September 8**

**Introduction and Overview**

Assign readings for oral presentations and reports.

**September 15 and 22**

**Classical Realism, Neorealism and Neo-Classical Realism:  
Anarchy, Power and Security in International Relations**

1. Stanley Hoffmann (1977) "An American Social Science: International Relations" *Daedalus*, Vol. 106, No. 3 (Summer), pp. 41-60
2. J. David Singer (1961) "The Level-of-Analysis Problem in International Relations." *World Politics*, Vol. 14, No. 1 -- can be found here:  
[http://sitemaker.umich.edu/jdsinger/files/the\\_level\\_of\\_analysis\\_problem\\_in\\_international\\_politics.pdf](http://sitemaker.umich.edu/jdsinger/files/the_level_of_analysis_problem_in_international_politics.pdf)
- 3/4. Edward H. Carr (1939) The Twenty Years' Crisis. 1919-1939: An Introduction to the Study of International Relations, London: Macmillan -- Chapters 1-3 (pp. 1-40), and Chapters 5-7 and 9 (63-169).

**Link to Book**

<http://tsime.uz.ac.zw/claroline/backends/download.php/LUUuX0guX0NhcNItX1RoZV9Ud2VudHlfWWVhcnNfX0NyaXNpcyxfMTkxOS0xOTM5Xy1Cb29rRmkub3JnLS5wZGY%3D?cidReq=POS211>

- 5a. Thucydides -- "The Melian Dialogue" - <http://www.mtholyoke.edu/acad/intrel/melian.htm>
- 5b. Niccolo Machiavelli "The Prince" - read Chapter 5, 15, 17, 18 and 21 (these are brief chapters) - <http://www.constitution.org/mac/prince00.htm>
- 5c. Thomas Hobbes "Leviathan" -- read Chapter 13 - <http://ebooks.adelaide.edu.au/h/hobbes/thomas/h68l/chapter13.html>
6. Hans J. Morgenthau (1966) *Politics Among Nations* 4th Ed., New York: Knopf -- Chapters 1-4 (pp. 3-55). **Link to Book:**  
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/78345920/Politics-Among-Nations>
7. Kenneth Waltz (1979) Theory of International Politics. Mass.: Addison-Wesley -- chapters 4, 5 and 6 - **Link to Book:**  
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/40007016/Kenneth-Waltz-Theory-of-International-Politics>

**See Also**

"Conversations with History: Kenneth Waltz"  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F9eV5gPIPZg>

8. John J. Mearsheimer (2001) "Structural Realism"  
<http://mearsheimer.uchicago.edu/pdfs/StructuralRealism.pdf>  
AND Mearsheimer's Reply (see Item #? below)

### **See Also**

“Conversations with History: John Mearsheimer” -  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AKFamUu6dGw>

John Mearsheimer (2005) “E.H. Carr vs. Idealism: The Battle Rages On.” International Relations, Vol. 19 (2): pp. 139–152.  
<http://mearsheimer.uchicago.edu/pdfs/A0035.pdf>

9. Paul Rogers, Richard Little, Christopher Hill, Chris Brown and Ken Booth (2005) “Roundtable: The Battle Rages On”. International Relations, Vol. 19 (3): pp. 337-360  
<http://mearsheimer.uchicago.edu/pdfs/A0036.pdf>
10. Jeffrey W. Taliaferro, Steven E. Lobell, and Norrin M. Ripsman (2009) “Introduction: Neoclassical realism, the state, and foreign policy,” in Neoclassical Realism, the State, and Foreign Policy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press -  
[http://assets.cambridge.org/97805217/31928/excerpt/9780521731928\\_excerpt.pdf](http://assets.cambridge.org/97805217/31928/excerpt/9780521731928_excerpt.pdf)

### **Recommended**

Robert Gilpin (1981) War and Change in World Politics (New York: Cambridge).

Gideon Rose (1998) “Review Article: Neoclassical Realism and Theories of Foreign Policy.” World Politics 51.1 (1998) 144-172.

Liu Feng and Zhang Ruizhuang (2006) “The Typologies of Realism.” Vol. 1 (1): pp. 109-134 - <http://cjjp.oxfordjournals.org/content/1/1/109.full>

John Mearsheimer (2005) “Hans Morgenthau and the Iraq war: realism versus neo-conservatism.” - <http://mearsheimer.uchicago.edu/pdfs/A0037.pdf>

Shiping Tang (2009) “Taking Stock of Neoclassical Realism,” International Studies Review, 11/4 (Dec. 2009), pp. 798-803.

**September 29**

## **English School and International Relations**

11. Stanley Hoffman (1986) “Hedley Bull and His Contribution to International Relations” International Affairs, Vol. 62, No. 2(Spring, 1986), pp. 179-195  
<http://www.guillamenicaise.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/hedley-bull-by-hoffman.pdf>
12. Hidemi Suganami (2010) “The English School in a Nutshell” Annual Review of International Studies, Vol.9, pp. 15-28  
<http://www.ritsumeai.ac.jp/acd/cg/ir/college/bulletin/e-vol.9/02Hidemi%20Suganami.pdf>

13. Dale Copeland. 2003. "A Realist Critique of the English School," Review of International Studies 29: 427-441.

### **Recommended**

Hedley Bull (1977) The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics. London: Macmillan

Ian Hall (2012) "Taming the Anarchical Society"

<http://www.e-ir.info/2012/07/05/taming-the-the-anarchical-society/>

Robert W. Murray System, Society & the World: Exploring the English School of International Relations - <http://www.e-ir.info/wp-content/uploads/Exploring-the-English-School-e-International-Relations.pdf>

Barry Buzan. 1993. "From International System to International Society: Structural Realism and Regime Theory Meet the English School." International Organization, 47 (3): 327-352.

Barry Buzan, "The English School: an underexploited resource in IR," Review of International Studies 27:3 (2001), 474.

Michael Nicholson "Realism and utopianism revisited" Review of International Studies <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/38025/1/S0260210598000655a.pdf>

Richard D. Little. 2000. "The English School's Contribution to the Study of International Relations." European Journal of International Relations, 6 (3): 395-423.

Barry Buzan. 1993. "From International System to International Society: Structural Realism and Regime Theory Meet the English School." International Organization, 47 (3): 327-352.

Randall Schweller (2001) "The Problem of International Order Revisited: A Review Essay," International Security, 26 (1): 161-1

Richard D. Little (2003) "The English School vs. American Realism: a meeting of minds or divided by a common language?" Review of International Studies 29 (3): 443-460.

**October 6**

## **Critiques of Realism**

14. Helen Milner (1996) "The Assumption of Anarchy in International Relations Theory: A Critique." Review of International Studies - Vol. 17, No. 1, Jan., 1991(pp. 67-85)

15. Kenneth Oye (1985) "Explaining Cooperation under Anarchy: Hypotheses and Strategies" World Politics, Vol. 38, No. 1 (Oct., 1985), pp. 1-24.  
<http://ir.rochelleterman.com/sites/default/files/Oye%201985.pdf>
16. Vasquez, J.A. (1979) "Colouring it Morgenthau: New Evidence for an Old Thesis on Quantitative International Politics". British Journal of International Studies 5, 210-228.
- 17a. Kenneth Waltz (1997) "Evaluating Theories". The American Political Science Review, Vol. 91, No. 4 (Dec., 1997), pp. 913-917
- 17b. Stephen M. Walt, "The Progressive Power of Realism," American Political Science Review, Vol. 91, No. 4 (Dec., 1997), pp. 931-935.

### **Recommended**

Jeffrey W. Legro and Andrew Moravcsik "Is Anybody Still a Realist" International Security, Vol. 24, No. 2 (Fall 1999), pp. 5-55

Robert Jervis (1999) "Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate." International Security 24 (1): 42-63.

Richard Ned Lebow (1994) "The long peace, the end of the cold war, and the failure of realism," International Organization. 48, 2, Spring, pp. 249-277.

**October 20**

## **Liberalism and Neoliberalism: Order, Stability and Cooperation in International Relations**

18. Robert Keohane and Joseph Nye "Power and Interdependence. International Organization, Vol. 41, No. 4 (Autumn, 1987), pp. 725-753  
[http://www.ri.ie.ufrj.br/intranet/arquivos/power\\_and\\_interdependece.pdf](http://www.ri.ie.ufrj.br/intranet/arquivos/power_and_interdependece.pdf)

### **See Also**

Robert O. Keohane and Joseph S. Nye, Jr. (1998) "Power and Interdependence in the Information Age." Foreign Affairs, September/October.

<http://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/54395/robert-o-keohane-and-joseph-s-nye-jr/power-and-interdependence-in-the-information-age>

19. John Mearsheimer (1994/95) "The False Promise of Institutions" International Security 19(3): pp. 5-49. **AND** "A Realist Reply". International Security 20(1), pp. 82-93.
20. Robert Keohane and Lisa L. Martin (1995) "The Promise of Institutional Theory", International Security 20 (1), pp. 39-51.

- 21a. Robert Keohane (2001) “The Globalization of Informal Violence, Theories of World Politics, and ‘The Liberalism of Fear’ -- <http://essays.ssrc.org/sept11/essays/keohane2.htm>
- 21b. Joseph Nye (2002) “The New Rome Meets the New Barbarians: How America Should Wield Its Power.” The Economist (23 March 2002) -- [http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/publication/1172/new\\_rome\\_meets\\_the\\_new\\_barbarians.html](http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/publication/1172/new_rome_meets_the_new_barbarians.html)
22. Schweller, Randall L (2001) “The Problem of International Order Revisited: A Review Essay,” International Security, 26 (1): 161-186.

### **Recommended**

John G. Ikenberry (1998) “Institutions, Strategic Restraint, and the Persistence of Great Power Order.” International Security (Winter 1998/99). Vol 23 (3), pp. 43-78.

Michael W. Doyle (1986) “Liberalism and World Politics.” American Political Science Review Vol. 80 pp. 1151-

Jeffrey W. Legro and Andrew Moravcsik (1999) “Is Anybody Still a Realist.” International Security, Vol. 24, No. 2 (Fall 1999), pp. 5–55.

Peter D. Feaver, Gunther Hellman, Randall L. Schweller, Jeffrey W. Taliaferro, William C. Wohlforth, Jeffrey W. Legro and Andrew Moravcsik (2000) “Brother, Can You Spare a Paradigm? (Or Was Anybody Ever a Realist?).” International Security, Vol. 25, No. 1 (Summer 2000), pp. 165–193.

**October 27**

### **Social Constructivism**

23. Alexander Wendt (1992) “Anarchy is What States Make of it: The Social Construction of Power Politics,” International Organization, Vol. 46, Spring 1992 pp. 391-425
24. Ted Hopf, “The Promise of Constructivism in International relations theory,” *International Security*, Vol. 23, Issue 1 (Summer 1998): 171200.
25. Dale Copeland (2000) “The Constructivist Challenge to Structural Realism: A Review Essay.” International Security, Vol. 25, No. 2 (Fall 2000), pp. 187–212
26. James Fearon and Alexander Wendt (2002) “Rationalism v. Constructivism: A Skeptical View.” In Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Risse, and Beth A. Simmons (eds.), Handbook of International Relations. London: Sage Publications, pp. 52-72.  
<http://www.rochelleterman.com/ir/sites/default/files/Fearon%2Band%2BWendt%252C%2BChapter%2B3.pdf>

### **Recommended**

Michael C. Williams. "Words, Images, Enemies: Securitization and International Politics," International Studies Quarterly 47 (2003): 511-531

Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink (2001) "Taking Stock: The Constructivist Research Program in International Relations and Comparative Politics," Annual Review of Political Science 4, pp. 391-416.

John Gerard Ruggie (1998) "What makes the World Hang Together? Neoliberalism and the Social Constructivist Challenge," International Organization, Autumn.

Emanuel Adler (1997) "Seizing the middle ground: constructivism in world politics". European Journal of International Relations 3 (3): 319-363.

## **November 3**

### **Methodological Debates in International Relations**

27. Bruce Bueno de Mesquita (1985) "Symposium on Methodological Foundations of the Study of International Conflict," International Studies Quarterly, vol. 29,
- 28a. Stephen Krasner (1985) and Robert Jervis (1985) "Symposium on Methodological Foundations of the Study of International Conflict," International Studies Quarterly, vol. 29.
- 28b. Hedley Bull (1966) "International Theory: The Case for the Classical Approach" World Politics, Vol. 18, No. 3, pp. 361-377.  
<http://www.rochelleterman.com/ir/sites/default/files/bull%201966.pdf>
29. Stephen Walt (1999) "Rigor or Rigor Mortis: Rational Choice and Security Studies". International Security, vol. 23, no. 4 (Spring) pp. 5-48.
30. Russell Leng (2002) "Quantitative International Politics and Their Critics" in Frank Harvey and Michael Brecher Evaluating Methodology in International Studies. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, pp. 116-130.

### **Recommended**

Bruce Bueno de Mesquita and James D. Morrow, Lisa Martin, Emerson Niu and Peter Ordeshook, Robert Powell, Frank Zagare, and Stephen M. Walt (1999) "Symposium: Formal Methods, Formal Complaints: Debating the Role of Rational Choice in Security Studies," International Security 24(2): 56-130.

Dina A. Zinnes (2002) "Reflection on Quantitative International Politics," in Michael Brecher and Frank P. Harvey, eds, Millennial Reflections on International Studies. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, pp. 405-409.



### **Strongly Recommended for Thesis Work**

Gary King, Robert Keohane and Sidney Verba (1994) Designing Social Inquiry: Scientific Inference in Qualitative Research. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

Colin Elman and Mirium Fendius Elman (2001) "Bridges and Boundaries: Historians, Political Scientists, and the Study of International Relations." Cambridge MA: MIT Press

**November 17**

### **Post-Positivism and Critical Feminist Theory**

31. Linklater, Andrew, 1986. 'Realism, Marxism and critical international theory', Review of International Studies. Vol 12, 1986: 301-312.
32. Steve Smith (2001) "Alternative and Critical Perspectives", in Frank Harvey and Michael Brecher (2002) Critical Perspectives in International Studies. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, pp. 27-44.
- 33a. Tickner J. Ann (1997) "You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements between Feminists and IR Theorists", International Studies Quarterly, vol. 41 No. 4, pp. 611-632. <http://www.ic.ucsc.edu/~rlipsch/Pol272/Tickner.pdf>
- 33b. Marianne Marchand and Ann Tickner (1998) "Reply to Robert Keohane". International Studies Quarterly 42 (1), pp. 193-210 (**discuss after Keohane below**).
34. Robert Keohane (1998) "Beyond Dichotomy: Conversations Between International Relations and Feminist Theory," International Studies Quarterly 42 (1), pp. 193-210.

### **Recommended**

Richard Ashley (1986) "The Poverty of Neorealism," in Robert Keohane (1986) Neorealism and its Critics. New York: Columbia University Press -- chapter 9.

### **Reply**

Robert Gilpin (1986) "The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism," in Robert Keohane Neorealism and its Critics. New York: Columbia University Press.

Jean Bethke Elshtain (1997) "Feminist Inquiry and International Relations", in Michael Doyle and John Ikenberry, New Thinking in International Relations. Boulder: Westview Press, pp. 77-90.

J. Ann Tickner (1988) "Hans Morgenthau's Principles of Political Realism: A Feminist Reformulation." Millennium – Journal of International Studies, 17(3), pp. 429 – 440

J. Ann Tickner, "Feminist Perspectives on 9/11." International Studies Perspectives, 3, 4 (November 2002), 333-50.

Marysia Zalewski (2001) "Feminism and/in International Relations: An exhausted conversation? OR Feminists doing International Relations: The Cut(ting) Edge of Contemporary Critical Theory and Practice?" in Frank Harvey and Michael Brecher (2002) Critical Perspectives in International Studies. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press – pp. 201-216.

L. H. M. Ling (2002) "The Fish and the Turtle: Multiple Worlds as Method" in Frank Harvey and Michael Brecher (2002) Critical Perspectives in International Studies. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press – pp. 141-147.

Jan Jindy Pettman (2001) "Millennium Reflections - Critical Paradigms in International Studies: Bringing it all back home?" in Frank Harvey and Michael Brecher Evaluating Methodology in International Studies. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press – pp. 165-177.

V. Spike Peterson (2004) "Feminist Theories Within, Invisible To, and Beyond IR." Brown Journal of World Affairs, Winter/Spring Volume X, Issue 2 - <http://www.watsoninstitute.org/bjwa/archive/10.2/Feminist%20Theory/Peterson.pdf>

## **November 24 and December 1**

### **Levels of Analysis Revisited: Mid-Range Theories of Foreign Policy and War**

#### **Leadership and War**

35. Daniel Byman and Kenneth Pollack (2001) "Let us now Praise Great Men: Bringing the Statesman Back in." International Security -- Spring, Vol. 25 Issue 4 pp 107-
36. Kaufmann, Chaim (2004) "Threat Inflation and the Failure of the Marketplace of Ideas: The Selling of the Iraq War." International Security - Volume 29, Number 1, Summer 2004, pp. 5-48

#### **Intelligence Failures**

37. Richard K. Betts (1987) "Analysis, War and Decision: Why Intelligence Failures Are Inevitable," World Politics 31 (October): pp. 61-89.
38. Robert Jervis (2006) "Reports, politics, and intelligence failures: The case of Iraq." Journal of Strategic Studies, Volume 29, Issue 1.

#### **Interest Groups and Domestic Pressures**

39. John Mearsheimer and Stephen Walt (2006) "The Israeli Lobby: Does it have too much influence on U.S. foreign policy?" London Review of Books, Vol. 28 No. 6 dated 23 March 2006 -- [http://www.lrb.co.uk/v28/n06/mear01\\_.html](http://www.lrb.co.uk/v28/n06/mear01_.html)
- reply to critics -- [http://www.lrb.co.uk/v28/n06/mear01\\_.html](http://www.lrb.co.uk/v28/n06/mear01_.html)  
-- reply to critics -- <http://www.lrb.co.uk/v28/n09/letters.html#letter1>  
-- reply to critics -- <http://www.lrb.co.uk/v28/n10/letters.html#letter3>
40. Critique of Mearsheimer and Walt - summarize strongest critiques of Mearsheimer and Walt article -- see London Review of Books letters page and scroll to the end of Mearsheimer and Walt article.

**See Also**

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VJq5y5ofnE>  
<http://www.counterpunch.org/2008/02/11/the-power-of-the-israel-lobby/>

**ALL** Frank P. Harvey (2012) President Al Gore and the 2003 Iraq War: A Counterfactual Critique of Conventional "W"isdom. Canadian Journal of Political Science. Volume 45 / Issue 01 / March, pp 1-32

**Nuclear Deterrence and Arms Control Theories**

41. James Dougherty and Robert Pfaltzgraff (2001) "Theories of Deterrence: Arms Control and Strategic Stability," in Contending Theories of International Relations: A Comprehensive Survey. New York: Longman -- Chapter 8, pp. 344-415.

**Recommended**

Dina Badie (2010) "Groupthink, Iraq, and the War on Terror: Explaining US Policy Shift toward Iraq" Foreign Policy Analysis. Vol. 6, pp. 277-296  
[http://www.politics.ubc.ca/fileadmin/user\\_upload/poli\\_sci/Faculty/price/Iraq\\_War\\_Groupthink.pdf](http://www.politics.ubc.ca/fileadmin/user_upload/poli_sci/Faculty/price/Iraq_War_Groupthink.pdf)

Brian C. Schmidt and Michael C. Williams (2008) "The Bush Doctrine and the Iraq War: Neoconservatives versus Realists." (June) Security Studies, 17:2, 191-220.  
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/09636410802098990>

**So What?**

**Theory-Policy Gap(s) in International Relations**

42. Joseph Lepgold (1998) "Is anyone listening? International relations theory and the problem of policy relevance." Political Science Quarterly, Spring, Vol. 113 Issue 1, p.43-63.
43. Stephen M. Walt (2005) "The Relationship Between Theory and Policy in International Relations." Annual Review of Political Science. 2005. 8: pp. 23-48.

44. Bruce Jentleson (2002) "The Need for Praxis: Bringing Policy Relevance Back." International Security, Vol. 26, No. 4 (Spring, 2002), pp. 169-183  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3092106>

**See also**

Bruce W. Jentleson and Ely Ratner (2011) "Bridging the Beltway–Ivory Tower Gap." International Studies Review 13, 6–11.

**ALL** Stephen Walt (2012) "What if realists were in charge of U.S. Foreign Policy" Foreign Policy (April 30).  
[http://walt.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2012/04/30/what\\_if\\_realists\\_ran\\_us\\_foreign\\_policy\\_a\\_top\\_ten\\_list](http://walt.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2012/04/30/what_if_realists_ran_us_foreign_policy_a_top_ten_list)

**ALL** Joseph S. Nye Jr. (2009) "Scholars on the Sidelines." Washington Post. Monday, April 13, 2009 - <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/04/12/AR2009041202260.html>.

**See also**

Joseph Nye (2008) "Conversation with History: Theory and Practice."  
<http://globetrotter.berkeley.edu/conversations/Nye/>

**Recommended:**

Michael Brecher (1999) "International Studies in the Twentieth Century and Beyond: Flawed Dichotomies, Synthesis, Cumulation." International Studies Quarterly 43: 213-264.