### Application of Predictive Modeling to the Early Cretaceous Sedimentary Sequences of the Central Scotian Basin

**Christopher Sangster\*** 

Hawie, N., Pe-Piper, G., Saint-Ange, F., Piper, D.J.W





One University. One World Yours.



Collaborative project between

- Saint Mary's University (SMU)
- Offshore Energy Research Association (OERA)
- Beicip-Franlab





- The Nova Scotia offshore has been the site of several major hydrocarbon discoveries
- Further exploration is complicated as a result of 2 main factors
  - Wide spread salt tectonics in the area
  - The influence of diagenesis on reservoir intervals
- Model the reservoir sandstone intervals in the central Scotian Basin using *DionisosFlow*, forward stratigraphic modeling software



- Test if provenance pathways are validated by observed sediment distribution
- Determine the distribution and extent of reservoir sandstone intervals in the Scotian Basin
  - Whether they are trapped on the slope or bypass into the basin
- Determine the factors which control the distribution of sands within the study area





- Rifting in the Late Triassic
- Salt deposition
- Missisauga Formation
  - Upper Missisauga
     Formation
- Logan Canyon Formation
  - Naskapi Member
  - Cree Member



## **Model Inputs**





Bathymetric maps











#### Seismic interpretations



Surfaces

### Study Area

- 185 km x 215 km **Grid Size**
- 5 km x 5 km
- Time Steps
- 0.25 Ma

## **Principles of DionisosFLow**

Thow Beergard is a gratim (LELDE) inslow slope and water discharge gravity permanent fluvial transport

- Gravity  $Driven^{-} K Q_w S$
- Water -Drivienent inflow
- Waven Billier flow
  - S = depositional slope degree



**Paleo-bathymetry** 

**Sediment sources** 

**System inputs** 

# Multi-disciplinary Approach



#### Bathymetry

- Biostratigraphy
- Lithofacies classification
- Shelf edge interpretations

#### Sources

- Provenance
- Apatite fission track modeling
- Modern system comparisons
- Sediment properties
  - Point Counting
  - grain size
  - Lithology from cores



## Source Areas



### The Meguma terrane

• Mainland Nova Scotia

### The Sable River

 Southern Labrador, the long range inlier of Western Newfoundland, and the Maritimes Basin

### The Banquereau River

 Humber Valley of Western Newfoundland, the Makkovik Province, and Southern Newfoundland

1 000 000 Catchment Areas Legend 0 Meguma Terrane 100 000 Onshore Nova Scotia Water discharge (m<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>) and Inner Shelf Mesozoic basin Jurassic ocean Permo-Carb. basin • Shear-Zones Labrador rift 10 000 Greenland E Lower Paleozoic basin Nain and Eastern Churchill Provinces Sable River Appalachian orogen Rift O Long range Inlier Mesoproterozoic • Maritimes Basin Paleoprot. Makkovik 1 0 0 0 shoulde Grenville Province other Paleoproterozoic Archean Banquereau River 200 km 100 • Humber Valley Makkovik Southern Nfld Province Rockall Makkovic Province Platform 10 Labrador Superior • Global Data Area: ~36,400 km Province Uplift: ~1.5 km Area: ~146,000 km 100 10 000 100 000 1 000 000 10 000 000 1000 Drainage area (km<sup>2</sup>) Orphan Knoll Grenville Province 1 000 000 Québec Area: ~5,500 km<sup>2</sup> Uplift:0.25 km? 100 000 Sediment load (m<sup>3</sup>Ma<sup>-1</sup>) Gulf of St 10 000 Lawrence ~113,600 km Area: ~21,550 km<sup>2</sup> Uplift: 0.25 km? Flemish Pass 1 000 Brun 100 Relief Area: ~75,600 km Eastern Central Grand Nø)ølift: 🔎 / 2500m < R Ō Banks 10 1200m < R < 2500m</p> Scotian Basin 0 600m < R < 1200m Wester ∕ 200m < R < 600m 0 / R < 200m **Central Atlantic Ocean** 100 1000 10 000 100 000 1 000 000 10 000 000 Modified from Zhang et al., 2014 Drainage area (km<sup>2</sup>)

## System Inputs (Flow Paths)







Based on compiled point counting data

#### grain sizes used during simulation

Sediment	Grain Size (mm)
Shale	0.04
Silt	0.06
Very fine sand	0.075
Fine sand	0.2
Medium sand	0.4
Coarse sand	0.65



### **Reference Case Models**







Well logs

Unit Thickness Calibration

Interval thickness interpreted from seismic surfaces
 Only available for K-130 and K-101

Individual unit thickness based on average thickness of units from well picks in calibration well

Unit	Avg. Thickness (m)	% Total Thickness
Upper Missisauga	684	40.5
Naskapi	175	11.0
Cree	746	48.5

# Unit thickness calibration



- Calibration generally greater than 80%
  - Error on wells to obtain high well thickness calibration
  - In association with salt

Suggests that provenance model is viable



The Upper Missisauga Formation and Cree Member have similar sources and thickness

• The Upper Missisauga Formation formed in half the time (5 Ma vs 11 Ma)

- Drastic decrease in sediment supplied by the Sable and Banquereau rivers
- Supply controlled by
  - Climate
  - Source rocks
  - Relief

	Sediment Supply (km <sup>3</sup> /Ma)		
Unit	Upper Missisauga	Cree Member	
Sable River	3450	2000	
Meguma	450	300	
Banquereau	300	175	





Comparison of well log lithologies and simulated lithologies

- Calculations are performed using a script
- Well logs are at much higher resolution than the simulated logs due to the number of time steps
  - Well logs are "up-scaled" to the size of the simulated logs





The majority of well calibrate to at least 80%Highest calibration typically in the basin

Three wells show low calibration

- Cohasset L-97
- Venture B-52
- Banquereau C-21

	Upper Missisauga	Naskapi	Cree
Average calibration	81.1	87.4	81.2



>er Missisauga
alibration of 72%
Il is located on the
e of study area
ccumulation of coarse
ediments

hin three cells of the ulated grid other wells w 35% more sand uld et al., 2012).





Upper Missisauga Formation and Cree Member

- Calibration of 74% and 61%
- Abundant channels in the eastern Sable Sub-basin
- Local autocyclic variability in sand and mud deposition in estuarine and tidal flat depositional systems takes place on a spatial scale less than the grid size



Naskapi Member
Calibration of 75%
Too shaly
May indicate an occasional return of the Banquereau River to the region



### Sand Distribution



Sand Distribution



### **Upper Missisauga**

- Dominant sand trapped on shelf
  - Decreasing from East to West
- Sand collects in minibasins and is pushed deeper into the basin
  - Western basin has more sediment corridors
    - Increased salt activity
  - Eastern basin shows direct sediment transport to the basin
  - 13% of basin sediments accumulate at the simulation boundary

### Sand Distribution



#### Naskapi Member

- Sand proportion is low and dominantly on the shelf
  - Consistent with maximum transgression depositional setting
- Low sand in deep basin
- 5% of basin sediments accumulate at the simulation boundary





#### **Cree Member**

- Dominant sand trapped on shelf
  - Decreasing from East to West
- Sand collects in minibasins and is pushed deeper into the basin
- Western/central basin has more sediment corridors
- Eastern basin shows direct sediment transport to the basin
- 22% of basin sediments accumulate at the simulation boundary



## Sensitivity Analysis



# CougarFlow

- Used to determine the contribution of parameters (Sensitivity analysis) and the range of possible values for these parameters (Risk analysis)
- Runs large numbers of DionisosFlow models altering uncertain parameters
  - Experimental design
- CougarFlow replaces the models with a response surface on the basis of the simulation results
  - Applies statistical analysis to the response surface



## Sensitivity Analysis Parameters

### Test the sensitivity of sand distribution

### Testable parameters

- Sediment composition
- Water discharge and sediment supply
- Subsidence

Source	Maximum	Minimum
Clay Proportion	+25%	-25%
Sediment Supply	+20%	-20%
Water Discharge	+10%	-10%



Subsidence variation based on the range in percent total thickness of the calibration wells

Unit	Max (%)	Min (%)	Difference (%)
Upper Missisauga	59.7	17.0	42.6
Naskapi	49.5	7.0	42.3
Cree	65.1	29.1	36.0



Subsidence Ranges Upper Missisauga





## **CougarFlow Results**







- Sand distribution most effected in the basin around areas where salt is present
- Very limited effect on the shelf
- Upper Missisauga and Cree show large impact along the slope and base of the slope
  - Naskapi shows large variations in the deep basin
  - Little variation in areas where sand accumulation was identified





# Conclusions

Proposed provenance pathways for the Early Cretaceous can be used to generate predictive stratigraphic models of overall sediment distribution

- Modelling suggests that the shaly nature of the Naskapi Member may be the result of tectonic diversion of the Sable River
- Differential sediment supply suggests episodic diversion of the Sable and Banquereau Rivers during the Albian

Sand is dominantly trapped on the shelf, with transport into the basin along salt corridors occurring in the Upper Missisauga Formation and Cree Member

- This led to sand accumulation in minibasins with large deposits seaward of the Alma F-67, Annapolis G-24 and Crimson F-81, and Tantallon M-41 wells
- Extended area simulations show sand transport beyond the study area, suggesting that there is potential for exploration in the deep basin
- Sensitivity analysis suggest that source composition is the controlling factor for sand distribution in the central Scotian Basin



Dr Andrew MacRae

Beicip-Franlab basin modeling, geology, and support teams

Offshore Energy

- Alcide Thebault
- Dr Didier Granjeon

Canadian Nova Scotia Petroleum Board (CNSOPB)

- Mark Deptuck
- Kris Kendell

NG I FADERS

Nova Scotia Department of Energy

**BeicipFranlab** 

Adam MacDonald







