Guide on how to Organize Resident Projects based on Type of Project.

PROJECT TYPE	Literature Appraisal/EBM Review	Position Paper/Essay	Educational Tool/ Myth-buster	Practice Quality Improvement Project/Audit	Research Project
SECTIONS: Abstract ½ page Introduction ½ to 1 page	Summary of all the sections using the headings in the left column. Brief introduction to why topic was chosen and its relevance to family medicine.				
Background 2 to 3 pages	Summary of background to topic for literature appraisal and state research question.	Summary of background literature and the position that will be taken.	Summary of background literature and provide evidence for relevance and indicate gaps.	Summary of background literature and state research question.	Summary of background literature and state research question
Study Design/Method 1-2 pages	State objective(s). Describe how review was conducted, data- bases searched, terms used for searches and inclusion /exclusion criteria used. Method applied for appraisal.	State objective(s). Provide brief description of evidence development to support position (literature review).	State objective(s). Provide methodology for educational tool development, audience focus, visuals, language level, tool choice (paper, video) etc. For Myth-busters, provide method for lit review (see literature appraisal). Use literature to support choice design, method & content	State objective(s). Describe study methods. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.	State objective(s). Describe study methods
Results 3-5 pages	Describe strength and summarize findings of literature/EBM review	Detail position in relation to literature/ evidence and, if appropriate, make recommendations or describe the meaning of the position and how it applies and will be incorporated in family medicine.	Tools/Myth-busters statements need to be grounded in the literature. Describe tool and how to implement it. Provide tool/Myth-buster in appendix.	Present findings from data and describe the strength of the findings.	Present findings from data.
Discussion 2-3 pages	Synthesize the literature, create meaning, and make recommendations and/or next steps.			Synthesize the data and make recommendatio ns/next steps.	Synthesize/ interpret findings, link back to literature/ make recommendati ons/next steps
Strength/ Limitations ½ pages Conclusion ½ page References	Share limitations and highlight advantages and disadvantages of the data/literature. Summarize the results. References should be appropriate, relevant and the style should be consistent.				