Dalhousie Family Medicine Resident Project Assessment Rubric

Resident:		Assessor:		Date:	
Type of Project:	Research 🗌 Literature Review 🗌 F	Position Paper/Essay	ational Tool 🗌 Practice Qua	lity Improvement/Audit	
	Outstanding (90-100)	Highly Acceptable (75-89) Suggested revisions optional	Acceptable with Minor Required Revisions (60- 74)	Requires Major Revisions (<59)	
	In this project, you		In this area, you need to	Remediation is required: you	
Define question/thesis or presenting case	present a precise original, thesis/ research question; demonstrate the significance with strong rationale; or describe case with appropriate rich detail and identify perceptively what is at issue	present a clear question/thesis; demonstrate judgment in rationale for importance; or describe a case that works well for appraisal of values and/or evidence, and identify some significant points	be more precise in defining your topic / (research) question, be more realistic and/or in tune with the reader in the rationale for the importance of your topic; be more accurate in seeing what is at issue in a case	present a vague topic, with a poorly thought-out rationale that does not match the actual project carried out	/10
Researching/ Information gathering	conduct a comprehensive and recent review of the literature with a clear and structured approach; inclusion / exclusion criteria identified; judiciously select important sources to focus on; reject or qualify less reliable sources.	use a variety of sources, inclusion / exclusion criteria identified; well-chosen according to clear criteria as appropriate, and balanced in perspectives; take into account strengths and limitations of sources.	pay more attention to finding the most relevant sources; be more balanced in the sources you use; take account of pitfalls in some sources. Describe methods and inclusion / exclusion criteria identified.	fails to make use of appropriate literature, policy, and/or guidance of documents; make, use of unreliable sources.	/20
Presenting and evaluating sources/others' perspectives	summarize diverse literature/views accurately and fairly; consistently focusses on the most central and significant ideas; critically evaluate sources/perspectives in a precise/nuanced manner	summarize other's view fairly, with few errors; use appropriate methodologies/standards for critique; balance detail with focus in summary and/or critique	be more fair in summarizing the views of others; be more focused and/or fair in your criticisms; be more judicious in homing in on what is important	presents others' view in inaccurate or unfair ways; fail to apply reasonable standards of rigour in evaluating evidence	/25
Applying sources; reaching conclusions, resolving case, proving thesis	successfully synthesize and weigh diverse kinds of evidence/applied appropriate research instruments and methods/ provide a compelling argument/evidence for conclusion, and/or a conclusion that is appropriately qualified given the argument/evidence	utilized good research instruments and methods with few gaps/ draws plausible conclusion form the evidence and arguments/ demonstrate some ability to synthesize and or evaluate diverse evidence	to improve the argument/ research methods/ get more comfortable in evaluating and synthesizing information/ reach clear conclusion	fail to support views with evidence and arguments/research instruments and methods are inappropriate for the research question.	/25

	Outstanding (90-100)	Highly Acceptable (75-89) Suggested revisions optional	Acceptable with Minor Required Revisions (60- 74)	Requires Major Revisions (<59)	
Organization	consistently organize your thoughts in a clear structure at both the overall and paragraph levels/ employ smooth transitions and cues for the reader/used appropriate and ordered research sections.	organize thoughts so that the reader can follow, with intro/ body/ conclusion and paragraph breaks usually appropriate/ no gaps in the research sections and order used to organize the paper.	break text appropriately into paragraphs and/or sections/ avoid repetition/ need to organize more logically/research presentation needs improvement	don't organize well enough for the reader to follow your argument/research poorly presented	/10
Quality of Language	write clear prose, use suitably complex sentence structures, consistently select appropriate academic vocabulary; proofread adequately	make use of clear and accurate word choice; structure sentences well; commit few if any grammatical or spelling errors	choose words more accurately for meaning or connotation; improve your grammar or spelling, whether by correcting mistakes or proofreading more carefully	demonstrate problems in word choice that invite misunderstanding or give offence; use consistently poor grammar and spelling	/5
Relevance to Family Medicine	relevance clearly stated	relevance stated	state the relevance more clearly	demonstrates little relevance	Yes/ No
Proper citation & quality of references	excellent	very good	good/needs improvement	needs improvement	/5
must include spec	ge level of achievement, based on the desc cific descriptors and comments to help the r e. Grades for Acceptable with Minor Revi	esident improve. Only provide a	final grade for those in the C	Dutstanding and Highly	/100

Updated June 2013

Comments (please add additional pages when needed):