Canadian Community Utilization of Stroke Prevention Pilot Study -Emergency Department (C-CUSP ED)

Parkash R., Magee K., McMullen M., Clory M., D'Astous M., Robichaud M., Andolfatto G., Read B., Wang J., Thabane L., Atzema C., Dorian P., Kaczorowski J., Banner D., Nieuwlaat R., Ivers N., Huynh T., Curran J., Graham I., Connolly S., Healey JS.

Introduction

Oral anticoagulation (OAC) reduces stroke risk by 60-80% in atrial fibrillation (AF). Lack of OAC prescription in ED patients with AF is a significant care gap.

Methods

This was a multi-center, three-phase before-after study, in three Canadian sites. Patients who presented to the ED with documented nonvalvular AF and were discharged home were included. Phase 1 was a retrospective chart review examining rates of OAC prescription; Phase 2 was a low-intensity knowledge translation intervention using a simple prescription tool, patient education package and a letter to family physicians; phase 3 incorporated Phase 2 interventions, but added immediate follow-up in a community AF clinic. Follow-up occurred via telephone. The primary outcome was the rate of new OAC prescriptions at ED discharge.

Results

632 patients were included (Phase 1 n=360, Phase 2 n=146, Phase 3 n=126) from June, 2015-November, 2016. ED census ranged from 30000 - 68000 annual visits. Mean age was 71±15, 67 ± 12 , 67 ± 13 years, respectively. 47.5% were women; most responsible ED diagnosis was AF in 75.8%. The mean CHA₂DS₂-VASc score was 2.6 ± 1.8 , with no difference amongst the three groups. There were 266 patients eligible for OAC who not on this at presentation. In this group, the prescription of new OAC was 15.8% in Phase 1 as compared to 54% and 47%, in Phases 2 and 3, respectively. After adjustment for center, components of the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score, prior risk of bleeding and most responsible ED diagnosis, the odds ratio for new OAC prescription was 8.0 (95% CI (3.5,18.3) p<0.001) for Phase 3 vs. 1, and 10.0 (95% CI (4.4,22.9) p<0.001), for Phase 2 vs. 1). No difference in OAC prescription in the ED was seen between Phases 2 and 3.

Conclusion

Use of a simple OAC-prescription tool was associated with an increase in new ED OAC prescriptions in eligible patients.